Pis'ma v ZhETF

Non-Abelian Vortex in Four Dimensions as a Critical Superstring [1)]

M. Shifman [a] *and A. Yung* [a,b,c,2)]

[a] *William I. Fine Theoretical Physics Institute, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA*

[b] *National Research Center "Kurchatov Institute", Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, St. Petersburg 188300, Russia*

[c] *St. Petersburg State University, Universitetskaya nab., St. Petersburg 199034, Russia*

arXiv:1611.03111v2 [hep-th] 11 Nov 2016

We discuss recent progress in describing a certain non-Abelian vortex string as a critical superstring on a conifold and clarify some subtle points. This particular solitonic vortex is supported in four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetric QCD with the $U(2)$ gauge group, $N_f=4$ quark flavors and the Fayet-Iliopoulos term. Under certain conditions the non-Abelian vortex can become infinitely thin and can be interpreted as a critical ten-dimensional superstring. In addition to four translational moduli the non-Abelian vortex under consideration carries six orientational and size moduli. The vortex moduli dynamics are described by a two-dimensional sigma model with the target space $\mathbb{R}^4 \times Y_6$ where Y_6 is a non-compact Calabi-Yau conifold. The closed string states which emerge in four dimensions (4D) are identified with hadrons of 4D bulk $\mathcal{N}=2$ QCD. It turns out that most of the states arising from the ten-dimensional graviton spectrum are non-dynamical in 4D. A single dynamical massless hypermultiplet associated with the deformation of the complex structure of the conifold is found. It is interpreted as a monopole-monopole baryon of the 4D theory (at strong coupling).

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we review the recent discovery of non-Abelian solitonic vortices [1, 2, 3, 4] supported in certain four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetric Yang-Milles theory with matter which behave as critical ten-dimensional superstrings.

In QCD, the Regge trajectories show almost perfect linear J behavior (J stands for spin). However, in all controllable examples at weak coupling a solitonic confining string exhibits linear behavior for the Regge trajectories only at asymptotically large spins [5, 6]. The reason for this is that at $J \sim 1$ the physical "string" becomes short and thick and cannot yield linear Regge behavior. Linear Regge trajectories at $J \sim 1$ have a chance to emerge only if the string at hand satisfies the thin-string condition [7],

$$T \ll m^2\,, \tag{1}$$

where T is the string tension and m is a typical mass scale of the bulk fields forming the string. The former parameter determines the string length, while the latter determines the string thickness. At weak coupling $g^2 \ll 1$, where g^2 is the bulk coupling constant, we have $m \sim g\sqrt{T}$. The thin-string condition (1) is therefore badly broken.

For the majority of solitonic strings in four dimensions, such as the Abrikosov-Nielsen-Olesen (ANO) vortices [8], the low-energy two-dimensional effective theory on the string world sheet – the Nambu-Goto theory – is not ultraviolet (UV) complete. To make the world sheet theory fully defined one has to take into account higher derivative corrections [9]. Higher derivative terms run in inverse powers of m and blow up in UV making the string worldsheet "crumpled" [10]. The blow up of higher derivative terms in the worldsheet theory corresponds to the occurrence of a thick and short "string."

The question weather one can find an example of a solitonic string which might produce linear Regge trajectories at $J \sim 1$ was addressed and answered in [7]. Such a string should satisfy the thin-string condition (1). This condition means that higher derivative correction are parametrically small and can be ignored. If so, the low-energy world-sheet theory must be UV complete. This implies the following necessary conditions:

(i) The low-energy world-sheet theory must be conformally invariant;

(ii) The theory must have the critical value of the Virasoro central charge.

As well-known, these conditions are satisfied by fundamental (super)string in 10D.

In [7] it was shown that (i) and (ii) above are met by the non-Abelian vortex string [1, 2, 3, 4] supported in four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetric QCD with the

[2)] Invited contribution, to be published in a special issue of JETP Letters.

[2)] e-mail: yung@thd.pnpi.spb.ru

U(N) gauge group, $N_f = 2N$ matter hypermultiplets and the Fayet-Iliopoulos (FI) parameter ξ [11]. The non-Abelian part of the gauge group has the vanishing β function. (We will need to consider $N = 2$.)

The non-Abelian vortex string is 1/2 BPS saturated and, therefore, has $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ supersymmetry on its worldsheet. In addition to translational moduli characteristic of the ANO strings, the non-Abelian string carries orientational moduli, as well as size moduli provided that $N_f > N$. [1, 2, 3, 4], see [12, 13, 14, 15] for reviews. Their dynamics is described by two-dimensional sigma model with the target space

$$\mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus(N_f-N)}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}\,, \tag{2}$$

to which we will refer as WCP($N, N_f - N$) model. For $N_f = 2N$ the model becomes conformal and condition (i) above is satisfied. Moreover for $N = 2$ the dimension of orientational/size moduli space is six and they can be combined with four translational moduli to form a ten-dimensional space required for critical superstrings[3)]. Thus the second condition is also satisfied [7]. For $N = 2$ the sigma model target space is a six-dimensional non-compact Calabi-Yau manifold Y_6, namely, the resolved conifold.

Given that the necessary conditions are met, a hypothesis was put forward [7] that this non-Abelian vortex string does satisfy thin-string condition (1) at strong coupling regime in the vicinity of a critical value of $g_c^2 \sim 1$. This implies that $m(g^2) \to \infty$ at $g^2 \to g_c^2$.

Moreover, a version of the string-gauge duality for the four-dimensional bulk Yang-Mills was proposed [7]: at weak coupling this theory is in the Higgs phase and can be described in terms of (s)quarks and Higgsed gauge bosons, while at strong coupling hadrons of this theory can be understood as string states formed by the non-Abelian vortex string. Later this hypothesis was further explored by studying string theory for the critical non-Abelian vortex [16, 17]. This analysis allows one to confirm and enhance the construction in [7].

The vortices in the U(N) theories under consideration are topologically stable, therefore the finite length strings are closed. Thus, we focus on the closed strings emerging from four dimensions. The goal is to identify closed string states with hadrons of the four-dimensional bulk theory. The first step of this program, namely the identification of massless string states was performed in [16, 17]

In particular, we identified a single matter hypermultiplet associated with the deformation of the complex structure of the conifold as the only 4D massless mode of the string. Other states arising from the ten-dimensional graviton are not dynamical in four dimensions. In particular, 4D graviton and unwanted vector multiplets are absent. This is due to non-compactness of the Calabi-Yau manifold we deal with and non-normalizability of the corresponding modes.

It was also discussed how the states seen in the bulk theory at weak coupling are related to what we obtain from the string theory at strong coupling. In particular the hypermultiplet associated with the deformation of the complex structure of the conifold is interpreted as a monopole-monopole baryon [16, 17].

It is worth mentioning at this point that the solitonic vortex describes only non-perturbative states. Perturbative states, in particular massless states associated with the Higgs branch of our four-dimensional theory, are present at all values of the gauge coupling and are not captured by the vortex string dynamics.

2. 4D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUPERSYMMETRIC QCD

The basic bulk model we start from is $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SQCD with the $U(N = 2)$ gauge group and $N_f = 4$ massless quark hypermultiplets. It is described in detail in [3], see also the review [14]. The field content is as follows.

The $\mathcal{N} = 2$ vector multiplet consists of the $U(1)$ gauge field A_μ and the SU(2) gauge fields A^a_μ, where $a = 1, ..., 3$, as well as their Weyl fermion superpartners plus complex scalar fields a, and a^a and their Weyl superpartners, respectively.

The matter sector of the U(N) theory contains $N_f = 4$ (s)quark hypermultiplets each consisting of the complex scalar fields q^{kA} and $\widetilde{q}_{Ak}$ (squarks) and their fermion superpartners — all in the fundamental representation of the U(2) gauge group. Here $k = 1, ..., 2$ is the color index while A is the flavor index, $A = 1, ..., 4$. We also assume the quark mass parameters to vanish.

In addition, we introduce the FI parameter ξ in the U(1) factor of the gauge group. It does not break $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry.

We will consider the bulk theory with $N_f = 2N$. In this case the SU(N) gauge coupling does not run since the corresponding β function vanishes. Note however, that the conformal invariance of the bulk theory is explicitly broken by the FI parameter.

Let us review the vacuum structure and the excitation spectrum of the bulk theory assuming weak coupling, $g^2 \ll 1$, where g^2 is the SU(2) gauge coupling. The FI term triggers the squark condensation. The squark vacuum expectation values (VEV's) are

[3)] It corresponds to $\widehat{c} = \frac{c}{3} = 3$

$$\langle q^{kA}\rangle = \sqrt{\xi}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \langle \bar{q}^{kA}\rangle = 0,$$

$$k = 1, 2\,, \qquad A = 1, ..., 4\,, \tag{3}$$

where we present the squark fields as matrices in the color (k) and flavor (A) indices and ξ is the Fayet-Iliopoulos parameter.

The squark condensate (3) results in the spontaneous breaking of both gauge and flavor symmetries. A diagonal global SU(2) combining the gauge SU(2) and an SU(2) subgroup of the flavor SU(4) group survives, however. This is a well known phenomenon of color-flavor locking.

Thus, the unbroken global symmetry of the bulk is

$$\mathrm{SU}(2)_{C+F} \times \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)\,, \tag{4}$$

Here $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{C+F}$ represents a global unbroken color-flavor rotation, which involves the first 2 flavors, while the second SU(2) factor stands for the flavor rotation of the remaining 2 quarks.

The U(1) factor in (4) is the unbroken combination of the gauge U(1) group and a U(1) subgroup of the flavor SU(4), which rotates the first and the last two quark flavors in the opposite directions (i.e. the charges are opposite). We will identify this unbroken U(1) factor with a baryonic $\mathrm{U}(1)_B$ symmetry. Note, that what is usually identified as the baryonic $\mathrm{U}(1)_B$ symmetry is a part of the U(2) gauge group in our bulk QCD.

Now, let us briefly discuss the perturbative excitation spectrum. Since both U(1) and SU(2) gauge groups are broken by the squark condensation, all gauge bosons become massive. In particular, the masses of the SU(2) gauge bosons are

$$m \approx g\sqrt{\xi} \tag{5}$$

at weak coupling.

As was already mentioned, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry remains unbroken. In fact, with the non-vanishing ξ, both the squarks and adjoint scalars combine with the gauge bosons to form long $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supermultiplets with eight real bosonic components [18]. All states appear in the representations of the unbroken global group (4), namely, in the singlet and adjoint representations of $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{C+F}$,

$$(1,\, 1,\, 0), \quad (\mathbf{Adj},\, 1,\, 0), \tag{6}$$

and in the bi-fundamental representations of $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{C+F} \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$,

$$(\bar{\mathbf{2}},\, \mathbf{2},\, 1)\,, \quad (\mathbf{2},\, \bar{\mathbf{2}},\, -1)\,. \tag{7}$$

The representations in (6) and (7) are labeled according to three factors in (4). The singlet and adjoint fields are the gauge bosons, and the first 2 flavors of squarks q^{kP} ($P = 1, 2$), together with their fermion superpartners. In particular, the mass of adjoint fields is given by Eq. (5). For the detailed explanation of the U(1) charges in (6) and (7) see [17].

The physical reason behind the fact that the (s)quarks transform in the adjoint or bi-fundamental representations of the global group is that their color charges are screened by the condensate (3) and therefore they can be considered as mesons.

The bi-fundamental fields (7) represent the (s)quarks of the type q^{kK} with $K = 3, 4$. They belong to short BPS multiplets with four real bosonic components. These fields are massless provided that the quark mass terms vanish. In fact, in this case the vacuum (3) in which only N first squark flavors develop VEVs is not an isolated vacuum. Rather, it is a root of a Higgs branch on which other flavors can also develop VEVs. This Higgs branch forms a cotangent bundle to the complex Grassmannian

$$\mathcal{H} = T^*\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathbb{C}}_{4,2}\,. \tag{8}$$

whose real dimension is [19, 20]

$$\dim\mathcal{H} = 4N(N_f - N) = 16. \tag{9}$$

The above Higgs branch is non-compact and is hyper-Kählerian [21, 19], therefore its metric cannot be modified by quantum corrections [19]. In particular, once the Higgs branch is present at weak coupling we can continue it all the way into strong coupling. In principle, it can intersect with other branches, if present, but it cannot disappear in the theory with vanishing matter mass parameters. We will see below that the presence of the Higgs branch and associated massless bi-fundamental quarks has a deep impact on the non-Abelian vortex dynamics.

The BPS vortex solutions exist only on the base of the Higgs branch which we define as a submanifold with $\tilde{q} = 0$. Therefore, we will limit ourselves to the vacua which belong to the base manifold.

3. WORLD SHEET MODEL

The presence of the global $\mathrm{SU}(N)_{C+F}$ symmetry is the reason for formation of non-Abelian flux tubes (vortex strings) [1, 2, 3, 4]. The most important feature of these vortices is the presence of orientational zero modes. In $\mathcal{N} = 2$ bulk theory these strings are 1/2 BPS-saturated; hence, their tension is determined exactly by the FI parameter

$$T = 2\pi\xi\,. \tag{10}$$

Let us briefly review the model emerging on the world sheet of the non-Abelian string.

The translational moduli fields (they decouple from other moduli) in the Polyakov formulation [22] are given by the action

$$S_0 = \frac{T}{2}\int d^2\sigma\sqrt{h}\,h^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha x^\mu\,\partial_\beta x_\mu + \text{fermions}\,, \qquad (11)$$

where σ^α ($\alpha = 1, 2$) are the world-sheet coordinates, x^μ ($\mu = 1, ..., 4$) describe the $\mathbb{R}^4$ part of the string world sheet and $h = \det(h_{\alpha\beta})$, where $h_{\alpha\beta}$ is the world-sheet metric which is understood as an independent variable.

If $N_f = N$ the dynamics of the orientational zero modes of the non-Abelian vortex, which become orientational moduli fields on the world sheet, is described by two-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ -supersymmetric $CP(N-1)$ model.

If one adds extra quark flavors, non-Abelian vortices become semilocal. They acquire size moduli [23]. In particular, for the non-Abelian semilocal vortex in addition to orientational zero modes of the vortex string n^P ($P = 1, 2$), there are size moduli ρ^K ($K = 1, 2$) [23, 1, 4, 24, 25, 26].

The gauged formulation of the effective world sheet theory for orientational and size moduli is as follows [27]. One introduces the $U(1)$ charges ± 1, namely $+1$ for n's and -1 for ρ's,

$$S_1 = \int d^2\sigma\sqrt{h}\left\{h^{\alpha\beta}\left(\tilde{\nabla}_\alpha\bar{n}_P\,\nabla_\beta\,n^P + \nabla_\alpha\bar{\rho}_K\,\tilde{\nabla}_\beta\,\rho^K\right)\right.$$
$$\left. + \frac{e^2}{2}\left(|n^P|^2 - |\rho^K|^2 - \beta\right)^2\right\} + \text{fermions}\,, \qquad (12)$$

where

$$\nabla_\alpha = \partial_\alpha - iA_\alpha\,, \qquad \tilde{\nabla}_\alpha = \partial_\alpha + iA_\alpha \qquad (13)$$

and A_α is an auxiliary gauge field. The limit $e^2 \to \infty$ is implied. Equation (12) represents the $WCP(2, 2)$ model.[4)]

The total number of real bosonic degrees of freedom in (12) is six, where we take into account the constraint imposed by D-term. Moreover, one U(1) phase is gauged away. These six internal degrees of freedom are combined with four translational moduli from (11) to form a ten-dimensional space needed for a superstring to be critical.

In the semiclassical approximation the coupling constant β in (12) is related to the bulk $SU(2)$ gauge coupling g^2 via [14]

$$\beta \approx \frac{4\pi}{g^2}\,. \qquad (14)$$

Note that the first (and the only) coefficient is the same for the bulk and world-sheet beta functions and vanishes. This ensures that our world sheet theory is conformal invariant.

The total world-sheet action is

$$S = S_0 + S_1\,. \qquad (15)$$

The global symmetry of the world-sheet sigma model (12) is

$$\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1); \qquad (16)$$

it is the same as the unbroken global group of the bulk theory (4). The fields n and ρ transform in the following representations:

$$n: \quad (\mathbf{2}, 0, 0), \qquad \rho: \quad (0, \mathbf{2}, 1)\,. \qquad (17)$$

4. THIN STRING REGIME

As we know [29, 19] the bulk theory at hand possesses a strong-weak coupling duality [5)]

$$\tau \to \tau_D = -\frac{1}{\tau}\,, \qquad \tau = i\frac{4\pi}{g^2} + \frac{\theta_{4D}}{2\pi}\,, \qquad (18)$$

where θ_{4D} is the 4D θ-angle.

The world-sheet model (12) is also obviously self-dual under the reflection of the coupling constant β,

$$\beta \to \beta_D = -\beta\,. \qquad (19)$$

Under this duality the orientational and size moduli n^P and ρ^K interchange.

The 2D coupling constant β can be naturally complexified if we include the θ term in the action of the $\mathbb{CP}^{N-1}$ model,

$$\beta \to \beta + i\,\frac{\theta_{2D}}{2\pi}\,.$$

Given the complexification of β we have a generalization of (19) to complex values of the coupling.

The exact relation between 4D and 2D couplings reads

$$\exp(-2\pi\beta) = -h(\tau)[h(\tau) + 2], \qquad (20)$$

[4)] Both the orientational and the size moduli have logarithmically divergent norms, see e.g. [24]. After an appropriate infrared regularization, logarithmically divergent norms can be absorbed into the definition of relevant two-dimensional fields [24]. In fact, the world-sheet theory on the semilocal non-Abelian string is not exactly the $WCP(N, \widetilde{N})$ model [26], there are minor differences. The actual theory is called the zn model. Nevertheless it has the same infrared physics as the GLSM (12) [28].

[5)] Argyres et al. proved this duality for $\xi = 0$. It should allow one to study the bulk theory at strong coupling in terms of weakly coupled dual theory at $\xi \neq 0$ too.

where the function $h(\tau)$ is a special modular function of τ defined in terms of θ-functions: $h(\tau) = \theta_1^4/(\theta_2^4 - \theta_1^4)$. This function enters the Seiberg-Witten curve for our 4D QCD [29, 19]. The derivation of the relation (20) will be presented elsewhere [30, 31].

Note, that the 4D self-dual point $g^2 = 4\pi$ is mapped onto the 2D self-dual point $\beta = 0$.

It was conjectured in [7] that thin-string condition (1) is in fact satisfied in this theory at strong coupling limit $g_c^2 \sim 1$. The conjecture is equivalent to the assumption that the mass of quarks and gauge bosons m has a singularity as a function of g^2. If we assume for simplicity that there is only one singular point, then by symmetry, a natural choice is the self-dual point $\tau_c = i$ or $g_c^2 = 4\pi$. This gives

$$m^2 \to \xi \times \begin{cases} g^2, & g^2 \ll 1 \\ \infty, & g^2 \to 4\pi \\ 16\pi^2/g^2, & g^2 \gg 1 \end{cases} , \qquad (21)$$

where the dependence of m at small and large g^2 follows from the tree-level formula (5) and duality (18).

Thus we expect that the singularity of mass m lies at $\beta = 0$. This is the point where the non-Abelian string becomes infinitely thin, higher derivative terms can be neglected and the theory of the non-Abelian string reduces to (15). The point $\beta = 0$ is a natural choice because at this point we have a regime change in the 2D sigma model *per se*. This is the point where the resolved conifold defined by the D-term in (12) develops a conical singularity [32].

The term "thin string" should be understood with care. As was mentioned previously, the target space of our sigma model is *non-compact*, see (12). Since the non-compact string moduli ρ^K have the string-size interpretation one might think that at large $|\rho|$ our string is not thin. Note, that by the thin-string condition (1) we mean that the string core is thin, and higher-derivative corrections which run in powers of ∂^2/m^2 are negligible.

Note that there are massless states in the bulk theory namely bifundamental quarks (7) which give rise to the continuous spectrum. Most of these light modes are *not* localized on the string and do not participate in the string dynamics. The only zero modes which are localized (in addition to the translational modes) are the size and the orientational modes [24] indicated in (12). They have a logarithmically divergent norm while all other light modes are power-like non-normalizable in the infrared. All other localized modes are massive, with mass $\sim m$. Integrating out these massive modes leads to higher-derivative corrections running in powers of ∂^2/m^2. They are negligible if m is large, see (1). We do *not* integrate out zero modes.

5. 4D BULK SUPERSYMMETRY FROM THE CRITICAL NON-ABELIAN VORTEX STRING

The critical string discovered in [7] must lead to $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric spectrum in our bulk four-dimensional QCD. This is *a priori* clear because our starting basic theory is $\mathcal{N} = 2$. The question is how this symmetry emerges from the string world-sheet model.

Non-Abelian vortex string is 1/2 BPS; therefore, out of eight bulk supercharges it preserves four. These four supercharges form $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ on the world sheet which is necessary to have $\mathcal{N} = 2$ space-time supersymmetry [33, 34].

Note that this conclusion is based on the fact that we have only closed strings in our theory. If open strings were present they would break 4D supersymmetry down to $\mathcal{N} = 1$, which would contradict the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry initially present in our bulk QCD.

Another question to ask is whether the string theory (15) belongs to Type IIA or IIB? We started with $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric QCD which is a vector-like theory and preserves 4D parity. Therefore we expect that the closed string spectrum in this theory should respect 4D parity.

On the other hand we know that Type IIB string is a chiral theory and breaks parity while Type IIA string theory is left-right symmetric and conserves parity [35]. Thus we expect that the string theory of non-Abelian vortex is of Type IIA. This expectation is confirmed by studying how parity transformation acts on the 2D fermions [17].

6. SPECTRUM: 4D GRAVITON AND VECTOR FIELDS

In this section we review the 4D reduction of our string theory [16, 17].

As was mentioned above, our target space is $\mathbb{R}^4 \times WCP(2, 2) = \mathbb{R}^4 \times Y_6$ where Y_6 is a non-compact Calabi-Yau conifold, which in fact, at $\beta \neq 0$ is a resolved conifold, see [32] for a review. We will consider the string theory (15) at small β.

Strictly speaking, at small β the sigma model quantum corrections blow up. In other words, we can say that at small β the gravity approximation does not work. However, for the massless states, we can do the computations at large β where supergravity approxi-

mation is valid and then extrapolate to strong coupling. This is the reason why now we will limit ourselves only to massless states. In the sigma model language the latter corresponds to chiral primary operators. They are protected by $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ world sheet supersymmetry and their masses are not lifted by quantum corrections. However, kinetic terms (the Kähler potentials) can be corrected.

In this section we show that all massless 4D string modes at $\beta \neq 0$ have infinite norm over the conifold and thus decouple. In particular, the 4D-graviton and 4D vector fields are absent.

The massless 10D boson fields of type IIA string theory are graviton, dilaton and antisymmetric tensor B_{MN} in the NS-NS sector. In the R-R sector type IIA string gives rise to one-form and three-form. (Above $M, N = 1, ..., 10$ are 10D indices). We start from massless 10D graviton and study what states it can produce in four dimensions. In fact, 4D states coming from other massless 10D fields listed above can be recovered from $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry in the bulk, see for example [36]. We will follow the standard string theory approach well developed for compact Calabi-Yau spaces. The only novel aspect of the case at hand is that for each 4D state we have to check whether its wave function is normalizable on Y_6 keeping in mind that this space is non-compact.

Massless 10D graviton is represented by fluctuations of the metric $\delta G_{MN} = G_{MN} - G^{(0)}_{MN}$, where $G^{(0)}_{MN}$ is the metric on $\mathbb{R}^4 \times Y_6$ which has a block form since R^4 and Y_6 are factorized. Moreover, δG_{MN} should satisfy the Lichnerowicz equation

$$D_A D^A \delta G_{MN} + 2 R_{MANB} \delta G^{AB} = 0\,. \qquad (22)$$

Here D^A and R_{MANB} are the covariant derivative and the Riemann tensor corresponding to the background metric $G^{(0)}_{MN}$, where the gauge condition $D_A \delta G^A_N - \frac{1}{2} D_N \delta G^A_A = 0$ is imposed. Given the block form of $G^{(0)}_{MN}$, only the six-dimensional part R_{ijkl} of R_{MANB} is nonzero while the operator $D_A D^A$ is given by $D_A D^A = \partial_\mu \partial^\mu + D_i D^i$, where the indices $\mu, \nu = 1, ..., 4$ and $i, j = 1, ..., 6$ belong to flat 4D space and Y_6, respectively, and we use 4D metric with the diagonal entries $(-1, 1, 1, 1)$.

Next, we search for the solutions of (22) subject to the block form of δG_{MN},

$$\delta G_{\mu\nu} = \delta g_{\mu\nu}(x)\, \phi_6(y), \quad \delta G_{\mu i} = B_\mu(x)\, V_i(y),$$
$$\delta G_{ij} = \phi_4(x)\, \delta g_{ij}(y)\,, \qquad (23)$$

where x_μ and y_i are the coordinates on R^4 and Y_6, respectively. We see that $\delta g_{\mu\nu}(x)$, $B_\mu(x)$ and $\phi_4(x)$ are the 4D graviton, vector and scalar fields, while $\phi_6(y)$, $V_i(y)$ and $\delta g_{ij}(y)$ are the fields on Y_6.

Tor the fields $\delta g_{\mu\nu}(x)$, $B_\mu(x)$ and $\phi_4(x)$ to be dynamical in 4D, the fields $\phi_6(y)$, $V_i(y)$ and $\delta g_{ij}(y)$ should have finite norm over the six-dimensional internal space Y_6. Otherwise 4D fields will appear with infinite kinetic term coefficients, and, hence, will decouple.

Symbolically the Lichnerowicz equation (22) can be written as

$$(\partial_\mu \partial^\mu + \Delta_6)\, g_4(x) g_6(y) = 0, \qquad (24)$$

where Δ_6 is the two-derivative operator from (22) reduced to Y_6, while $g_4(x)g_6(y)$ symbolically denotes the factorized form in (23). If we expand g_6 in eighenfunctions

$$-\Delta_6 g_6(y) = \lambda_6 g_6(y) \qquad (25)$$

the eighenvalues λ_6 will play the role of the mass squared of the 4D states. Here we will be interested only in the $\lambda_6 = 0$ eigenfunctions. Solutions of the equation

$$\Delta_6 g_6(y) = 0 \qquad (26)$$

for the Calabi-Yau manifolds are given by elements of Dolbeault cohomology group $H^{(p,q)}(Y_6)$, where (p, q) denotes the numbers of holomorphic and anti-holomorphic indices of the form. The numbers of these forms $h^{(p,q)}$ are called the Hodge numbers for a given Y_6.

Due to the fact that $h^{(0,0)} = 1$, we can easily find (the only!) solution for the 4D graviton: it is a constant on Y_6. This mode is non-normalizable. Hence, no 4D graviton emerges from our string.

This is a good news. We started from $\mathcal{N} = 2$ QCD in four dimensions without gravity and, therefore, do not expect 4D graviton to appear as a closed string state.[6)]

The infinite norm of the graviton wave function on Y_6 rules out other 4D states of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gravitational and tensor multiplets: the vector field, the dilaton, the antisymmetric tensor and two scalars coming from the 10D three-form.

Now, let us pass to the components of the 10D graviton $\delta G_{\mu i}$ which give rise to a vector field in 4D. The very possibility of having vector fields is due to continuous symmetries of Y_6. Namely for our Y_6 we expect seven Killing vectors associated with symmetry (16), which obey the equations

$$D_i V^m_j + D_j V^m_i = 0, \qquad m = 1, ..., 7\,. \qquad (27)$$

[6)] The alternative option that the massless 4D spin-2 state has no interpretation in terms of 4D gravity is ruled out by Weinberg-Witten theorem [37].

For Calabi-Yau this implies the equation $D_j D^j V_i^m = 0$, which for compact manifolds is equivalent to the statement that V_i is a covariantly constant vector, $D_j V_i = 0$. This is impossible for Calabi-Yau manifolds with the SU(3) holonomy [35].

For non-compact Y_6 we expect the presence of seven Killing vectors associated with symmetry (16). However it is easy to see that the V_i^m fields produced by rotations of the y_i coordinates do not fall-off at large values of y_i^2 (where the metric tends to flat). Thus, they are non-normalizable, and the associated 4D vector fields $B_\mu(x)$ are absent.

7. DEFORMATIONS OF THE CONIFOLD METRIC.

It might seem that our 4D string does not produce massless 4D states at all. This is a wrong impression. At the selfdual value of $\beta = 0$ it does!

Consider the last option in (23), scalar 4D fields. In this case the appropriate Lichnerowicz equation on Y_6 reduces to

$$D_k D^k \delta g_{ij} + 2R_{ikjl}\delta g^{kl} = 0\,. \tag{28}$$

Solutions of this equation for the Calabi-Yau spaces reduce to deformations of the Kähler form or deformations of complex structure [32, 38]. For a generic Calabi-Yau manifold the numbers of these deformations are given by $h^{(1,1)}$ and $h^{(1,2)}$, respectively. Before describing these deformations we will briefly review conifold geometry.

The target space of the sigma model (12) is defined by the D term condition

$$|n^P|^2 - |\rho^K|^2 = \beta. \tag{29}$$

Also, a U(1) phase can be gauged away. We can construct U(1) gauge-invariant "mesonic" variables

$$w^{PK} = n^P \rho^K. \tag{30}$$

In terms of these variables the condition (29) can be written as $\det w^{PK} = 0$, or

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{4} w_\alpha^2 = 0, \tag{31}$$

where $w^{PK} = \sigma_\alpha^{PK} w_\alpha$, and σ-matrices are $(1, -i\tau^a)$, $a = 1, 2, 3$. Equation (31) define the conifold – a cone with the section $S_2 \times S_3$. It has the Kähler Ricci-flat metric and represents a non-compact Calabi-Yau manifold [39, 27, 32].

At $\beta = 0$ the conifold develops a conical singularity, so both S_2 and S_3 can shrink to zero. The conifold singularity can be smoothed in two different ways: by a deformation of the Kähler form or by a deformation of the complex structure. The first option is called the resolved conifold and amounts to introducing a non-zero β in (29). This resolution preserves the Kähler structure and Ricci-flatness of the metric. If we put $\rho^K = 0$ in (12) we get the $CP(1)$ model with the S_2 target space (with the radius $\sqrt{\beta}$). The explicit metric for the resolved conifold can be found in [39, 40, 41]. The resolved conifold has no normalizable zero modes. In particular, it is demonstrated in [17] that the 4D scalar β associated with deformation of the Kähler form is not normalizable.

As explained in [38, 17], non-normalizable 4D modes can be interpreted as (frozen) coupling constants in the 4D bulk theory. The β field is the most straightforward example of this, since the 2D coupling β is related to the 4D coupling, see Eq. (20).

If $\beta = 0$ another option exists, namely a deformation of the complex structure [32]. It preserves the Kähler structure and Ricci-flatness of the conifold and is usually referred to as the deformed conifold. It is defined by deformation of Eq. (31), namely

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{4} w_\alpha^2 = b\,, \tag{32}$$

where b is a complex number. Now the S_3 can not shrink to zero, its minimal size is determined by b. The explicit metric on the deformed conifold is written down in [39, 42, 43]. The parameter b becomes a 4D complex scalar field. The effective action for this field is

$$S(b) = T \int d^4x\, h_b |\partial_\mu b|^2, \tag{33}$$

where the metric $h_b(b)$ is given by the normalization integral over the conifold Y_6,

$$h_b = \int d^6y \sqrt{g} g^{li} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial b} g_{ij}\right) g^{jk} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{b}} g_{kl}\right), \tag{34}$$

and $g_{ij}(b)$ is the deformed conifold metric.

The metric h_b is calculated in [17] by two different methods, one of them [38] was kindly pointed out to us by Cumrun Vafa. Another one uses the explicit metric on the deformed conifold [39, 42, 43]. The norm of the b modulus turns out to be logarithmically divergent in the infrared. The modes with logarithmically divergent norm are on the borderline between normalizable and non-normalizable modes. Usually such states are considered as "localized" on the string. We follow this rule. We can relate this logarithmic behavior with the marginal stability of the b state, see [17]. This scalar

mode is localized on the string in the same sense as the orientational and size zero modes are localized on the vortex-string solution.

In type IIA superstring the complex scalar associated with deformations of the complex structure of the Calabi-Yau space enters as a 4D hypermultiplet. Thus our 4D scalar b is a part of a hypermultiplet. Another complex scalar $\tilde{b}$ comes from 10D three-form, see [36] for a review. Together they form the bosonic content of a 4D $\mathcal{N}=2$ hypermultiplet. The fields b and $\tilde{b}$ being massless can develop VEVs. Thus, we have a new Higgs branch in the bulk which is developed only at the self-dual value of coupling constant $g^2=4\pi$. The bosonic part of the full effective action for the b hypermultiplet takes the following form [17]:

$$S(b)=T\int d^4x\left\{|\partial_\mu b|^2+|\partial_\mu\widetilde{b}|^2\right\}\log\frac{T^4L^8}{|b|^2+|\widetilde{b}|^2}\,, \quad (35)$$

where L is the size of R^4 introduced as an infrared regularization of logarithmically divergent norm of b-field.

The logarithmic metric in (35) in principle can receive both perturbative and non-perturbative quantum corrections. However, for $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory the non-renormalization theorem of [19] forbids the dependence of the Higgs branch metric on the 4D coupling constant g^2. Since the 2D coupling β is related to g^2 we expect that the logarithmic metric in (35) will stay intact.

The presence of the "non-perturbatively emergent" Higgs branch at the self-dual point $g^2=4\pi$ at strong coupling is a successful test of our picture. A hypermultiplet is a BPS state. If it were present in a continuous region of τ at strong coupling it could be continued all the way to the weak coupling domain where its presence would contradict the quasiclassical analysis of bulk QCD, see [14, 17].

8. STRING STATES INTERPRETATION IN THE BULK.

To find the place for the massless scalar hypermultiplet we obtained as the only massless string excitation at the critical point $\beta=0$ let us first examine the weak coupling domain $g^2\ll 1$.

Since squarks develop condensates (3), the non-Abelian vortices confine monopoles, see [14] for details. The elementary monopoles are junctions of two distinct elementary non-Abelian strings [44, 3, 4]. As a result in the bulk theory we have monopole-antimonopole mesons in which a monopole and a antimonopole are connected by two confining strings. For the U(2) gauge group we have also "baryons" consisting of two monopoles connected by two confining strings, see [14] for further details.

The monopoles acquire quantum numbers with respect to the global group (4) of the bulk theory. Indeed, in the world-sheet model on the vortex-string, the confined monopole are seen as kinks interpolating between two different vacua [44, 3, 4]. These kinks are described at strong coupling by the n^P and ρ^K fields [45, 46] (for $WCP(N,\widetilde{N})$ models see [47]) and therefore transform in the fundamental representations of global group (16) of the world sheet theory, which coincides with (4).

As a result, the monopole-antimonopole mesons and baryons can be either singlets or triplets of both $SU(2)$ global groups, as well as in the bi-fundamental representations. With respect to the baryonic $U(1)_B$ symmetry which we define as a U(1) factor in the global group (4), the mesons have charges $Q_B(\text{meson})=0,1$ while the baryons can have charges

$$Q_B(\text{baryon})=0,1,2\,. \quad (36)$$

All the above non-perturbative stringy states are heavy, with mass of the order of $\sqrt{\xi}$, and can decay into screened quarks, which are lighter, and, eventually, into massless bi-fundamental screened quarks.

Now we return from weak to strong coupling and go to the self-dual point $\beta=0$. At this point a new 'exotic' Higgs branch opens up which is parameterized by the massless hypermultiplet – the b state, associated with the deformation of the complex structure of the conifold. It can be interpreted as a baryon constructed of two monopoles connected by two strings. To see this we note that the complex parameter b (promoted to a 4D scalar field) is singlet with respect to two $SU(2)$ factors of the global world-sheet group (16) while its baryonic charge is $Q_B=2$ [17].

Since the world sheet and the bulk global groups are identical we can conclude that our massless b hypermultiplet is a stringy monopole-monopole baryon.

Being massless it is marginally stable at $\beta=0$ and can decay into pair of massless bi-fundamental quarks in the singlet channel with the same baryon charge $Q_B=2$. The b hypermultiplet does not exist at non-zero β.

Acknowledgments.—We are very grateful to Igor Klebanov and Cumrum Vafa for very useful correspondence and insights, and to Nathan Berkovits, Alexander Gorsky, David Gross, Igor Klebanov, Zohar Komargodski, Peter Koroteev, Andrei Mikhailov and Shimon Yankielowicz for helpful comments.

The work of M.S. is supported in part by DOE grant de-sc0011842. The work of A.Y. was supported

by William I. Fine Theoretical Physics Institute, University of Minnesota, by Russian Foundation for Basic Research Grant No. 13-02-00042a and by Russian State Grant for Scientific Schools RSGSS-657512010.2. The work of A.Y. was supported by the Russian Scientific Foundation Grant No. 14-22-00281.

1. A. Hanany and D. Tong, JHEP **0307**, 037 (2003).
2. R. Auzzi, S. Bolognesi, J. Evslin, K. Konishi and A. Yung, Nucl. Phys. B **673**, 187 (2003).
3. M. Shifman and A. Yung, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 045004 (2004).
4. A. Hanany and D. Tong, JHEP **0404**, 066 (2004).
5. A. Yung, hep-th/0005088, in *At the Frontier of Particle Physics,* Ed. M. Shifman (World Scientific, Singapore, 2001), Vol. 3, p. 1827.
6. M. Shifman, *Highly excited hadrons in QCD and beyond,* hep-ph/0507246, in *Quark-hadron duality and the transition to pQCD*, Ed. by A. Fantoni et al., (World Scientific, Singapore, 2006), p. 171.
7. M. Shifman and A. Yung, *Critical String from Non-Abelian Vortex in Four Dimensions,* Phys. Lett. B **750**, 416 (2015) [arXiv:1502.00683 [hep-th]].
8. A. Abrikosov, Sov. Phys. JETP **32**, 1442 (1957); H. Nielsen and P. Olesen, Nucl. Phys. **B61**, 45 (1973). [Reprinted in *Solitons and Particles*, Eds. C. Rebbi and G. Soliani (World Scientific, Singapore, 1984), p. 365].
9. J. Polchinski and A. Strominger, *Effective string theory*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67** 1681 (1991).
10. A. Polyakov, Nucl. Phys. **286** 406 (1986).
11. P. Fayet and J. Iliopoulos, Phys. Lett. B **51**, 461 (1974).
12. D. Tong, *TASI Lectures on Solitons,* arXiv:hep-th/0509216.
13. M. Eto, Y. Isozumi, M. Nitta, K. Ohashi and N. Sakai, *Solitons in the Higgs phase: The moduli matrix approach,* J. Phys. A **39**, R315 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0602170].
14. M. Shifman and A. Yung, *Supersymmetric Solitons,* Rev. Mod. Phys. **79** 1139 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0703267]; an expanded version in Cambridge University Press, 2009.
15. D. Tong, *Quantum Vortex Strings: A Review,* Annals Phys. **324**, 30 (2009) [arXiv:0809.5060 [hep-th]].
16. P. Koroteev, M. Shifman and A. Yung, *Studying Critical String Emerging from Non-Abelian Vortex in Four Dimensions*, Phys. Lett. **B759**, 154 (2016) [arXiv:1605.01472 [hep-th]].
17. P. Koroteev, M. Shifman and A. Yung, *Non-Abelian Vortex in Four Dimensions as a Critical String on a Conifold*, Phys. Rev. **D94**, 065002 (2016) [arXiv:1605.08433 [hep-th]].
18. A. I. Vainshtein and A. Yung, "Type I superconductivity upon monopole condensation in Seiberg–Witten theory," Nucl. Phys. B **614**, 3 (2001) [hep-th/0012250].
19. P. Argyres, M. Plesser and N. Seiberg, *The Moduli Space of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY QCD and Duality in $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SUSY QCD,* Nucl. Phys. **B471**, 159 (1996).
20. A. Marshakov and A. Yung, *Non-Abelian confinement via Abelian flux tubes in softly broken $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY QCD,* Nucl. Phys. B **647**, 3 (2002) [hep-th/0202172].
21. N. Seiberg and E. Witten, *Monopoles, duality and chiral symmetry breaking in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric QCD,* Nucl. Phys. **B431**, 484 (1994) [hep-th/9408099].
22. A. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. **B103**, 207 (1981).
23. For a review see e.g. A. Achucarro and T. Vachaspati, Phys. Rept. **327**, 347 (2000).
24. M. Shifman and A. Yung, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 125012 (2006).
25. M. Eto, J. Evslin, K. Konishi, G. Marmorini, et al., Phys. Rev. D **76**, 105002 (2007).
26. M. Shifman, W. Vinci and A. Yung, "Effective World-Sheet Theory for Non-Abelian Semilocal Strings in N = 2 Supersymmetric QCD," Phys. Rev. D **83**, 125017 (2011); [arXiv:1104.2077 [hep-th]].
27. E. Witten, "Phases of N = 2 theories in two dimensions," Nucl. Phys. B **403**, 159 (1993). [hep-th/9301042].
28. P. Koroteev, M. Shifman, W. Vinci and A. Yung, *Quantum Dynamics of Low-Energy Theory on Semilocal Non-Abelian Strings,* Phys. Rev. D **84**, 065018 (2011) [arXiv:1107.3779 [hep-th]].
29. P. Argyres, M. R. Plesser and A. Shapere, *The Coulomb Phase of N=2 Supersymmetric QCD* Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 1699 (1995). [hep-th/9505100].
30. Z. Komargodski, to be published.
31. P. Koroteev, M. Shifman and A. Yung, to be published.
32. A. Neitzke and C. Vafa, *Topological strings and their physical applications*, arXiv:hep-th/0410178.
33. D. Gepner, *Space-Time Supersymmetry in Compactified String Theory and Superconformal Models,* Nucl. Phys. B **296**, 757 (1988).
34. T. Banks, L. J. Dixon, D. Friedan, and E. J. Martinec, *Phenomenology and Conformal Field Theory Or Can String Theory Predict the Weak Mixing Angle?* Nucl. Phys. B **299**, 613 (1988).
35. M. B. Green, J. H. Schwarz and E. Witten, *Superstring Theory* (Cambridge University Press, 1987).
36. J. Louis *Generalized Calabi-Yau compactifications with D-branes and fluxes,* Fortschr. Phys. **53**, 770 (2005).
37. S. Weinberg and E. Witten, "Limits on Massless Particles," Phys. Lett. B **96**, 59 (1980).
38. S. Gukov, C. Vafa and E. Witten *CFT's from Calabi-Yau four folds,* Nucl. Phys. **B584**, 69 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0410178].
39. P. Candelas and X. C. de la Ossa, *Comments on conifolds,* Nucl. Phys. **B342**, 246 (1990).
40. L. A. Pando Zayas and A. A. Tseytlin, *3-branes on resolved conifold,* JHEP **0011**, 028 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0010088].

41. I. R. Klebanov and A. Murugan, *Gauge/Gravity Duality and Warped Resolved Conifold,* JHEP **0703**, 042 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0701064].
42. K. Ohta and T. Yokono, *Deformation of Conifold and Intersecting Branes,* JHEP **0002**, 023 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9912266]
43. I. R. Klebanov and M. J. Strassler, *Supergravity and a Confining Gauge Theory: Duality Cascades and chiSB-Resolution of Naked Singularities,* JHEP **0008**, 052 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0007191].
44. D. Tong, *Monopoles in the Higgs phase,* Phys. Rev. D **69**, 065003 (2004).
45. E. Witten, *Instantons, the Quark Model, and the 1/N Expansion,* Nucl. Phys. B **149**, 285 (1979).
46. K. Hori and C. Vafa, *Mirror symmetry,* arXiv:hep-th/0002222.
47. M. Shifman and A. Yung, *Non-Abelian Confinement in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Supersymmetric QCD: Duality and Kinks on Confining Strings,* Phys. Rev. D **81**, 085009 (2010) [arXiv:1002.0322 [hep-th]].